

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO).	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/922,060		08/03/2001	Deepak Pai	10001-29420	7591
22930	7590	09/08/2006		EXAMINER	
HOWRE					
2941 FAIR	/O IP DOCKETING DEPARTMENT 941 FAIRVIEW PARK DR, SUITE 200 ALLS CHURCH, VA 22042-2924			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
				DATE MAILED: 09/08/2006	5

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)			
lotific	ation of Non-Compliant Appeal Brief	09/922,060	PAI, DEEPAK			
	(37 CFR 41.37)	Examiner	Art Unit			
	·	Kishor Mayekar	1753			
	The MAILING DATE of this communication app	pears on the cover sheet with the	correspondence address			
The Ar	opeal Brief filed on <u>15 August 2006</u> is defective fo	or failure to comply with one or m	nore provisions of 37 CFR 41 37			
THE AL	ppear Brief filled Off 10 August 2000 is defective to	or failure to comply with one or fi	iore provisions of or or it 41.57.			
1205.0	id dismissal of the appeal, applicant must file an 3) within ONE MONTH or THIRTY DAYS from t ISIONS OF THIS TIME PERIOD MAY BE GRA	he mailing date of this Notification				
1. 🛛	The brief does not contain the items required u heading or in the proper order.	nder 37 CFR 41.37(c), or the ite	ms are not under the proper			
2. 🛛	The brief does not contain a statement of the status of all claims, (e.g., rejected, allowed, withdrawn, objected to, canceled), or does not identify the appealed claims (37 CFR 41.37(c)(1)(iii)).					
3. 🗌	At least one amendment has been filed subsequent to the final rejection, and the brief does not contain a statement of the status of each such amendment (37 CFR 41.37(c)(1)(iv)).					
4. 🛚	(a) The brief does not contain a concise explanation of the subject matter defined in each of the independent claims involved in the appeal, referring to the specification by page and line number and to the drawings, if any, by reference characters; and/or (b) the brief fails to: (1) identify, for each independent claim involved in the appeal and for each dependent claim argued separately, every means plus function and step plus function under 35 U.S.C. 112, sixth paragraph, and/or (2) set forth the structure, material, or acts described in the specification as corresponding to each claimed function with reference to the specification by page and line number, and to the drawings, if any, by reference characters (37 CFR 41.37(c)(1)(v)).					
5. 🗌	The brief does not contain a concise statement of each ground of rejection presented for review (37 CFR 41.37(c)(1)(vi))					
6. 🛛	The brief does not present an argument under a separate heading for each ground of rejection on appeal (37 CFR 41.37(c)(1)(vii)).					
7.	The brief does not contain a correct copy of the appealed claims as an appendix thereto (37 CFR 41.37(c)(1)(viii)).					
8.	The brief does not contain copies of the evidence submitted under 37 CFR 1.130, 1.131, or 1.132 or of any other evidence entered by the examiner and relied upon by appellant in the appeal , along with a statement setting forth where in the record that evidence was entered by the examiner, as an appendix thereto (37 CFR 41.37(c)(1)(ix)).					
9. 🗌	The brief does not contain copies of the decisions rendered by a court or the Board in the proceeding identified in the Related Appeals and Interferences section of the brief as an appendix thereto (37 CFR 41.37(c)(1)(x)).					
10.🛛	Other (including any explanation in support of	the above items):				
	See Continuation Sheet.	•				
		1.	H 101			
	•	Jun.	orny cole			
			thy Cole nt Appeals Specialist			

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-462 (Rev. 7-05)

Continuation Sheet (PTOL-462)

Continuation of 10. Other (including any explanation in support of the above items): 1.) The status of claims fails to state the status of all claims filed in the application.

- 2.) The claims appendix is inconsistent with the status of claims.
- 3.) The summary of claimed subject matter fails to map each independent claim involved in the appeal to the specification by page and line number and to the drawings, if any.
- 4.) The arguments section of the brief does not contain appellants arguments with respect to each ground of rejection presented for review, and the basis therefor, with citations of the statutes relied upon. Each ground of rejection must be treated under a separate heading. For each ground of rejection applying to two or more claims, the claims may be argued separately or as a group. Any claim argued separately should be placed under a subheading identifying the claim by number.